



International Journal of Advance Studies and Growth Evaluation

The Political Economy of Colonial Education: Grants-in-Aid and State Control in India and South Africa, 1850–1900

*¹ Deepshikha Singh

*¹ Research Scholar, Department of African Studies, University of Delhi, New Delhi, India.

Article Info.

E-ISSN: 2583-6528

Impact Factor (QJIF): 8.4

Peer Reviewed Journal

Available online:

www.alladvancejournal.com

Received: 12/July/2026

Accepted: 16/Aug/2026

Abstract

This article examines the political economy of colonial education in India and South Africa between 1850 and 1900, with particular attention to the grants-in-aid system and the expanding apparatus of state control. It argues that education policy in both contexts cannot be understood simply as a benevolent project of “modernization,” but must be read as a set of fiscal, administrative and ideological interventions aimed at producing racially and socially differentiated labor forces and political subjectivities suited to colonial rule. In India, Wood’s Dispatch of 1854 and subsequent commission’s institutionalized grants-in-aid to “aided” schools: limited financial support came with strict conditions on secular curricula, inspection and examinations, and this subordinated indigenous and missionary initiatives to a central educational bureaucracy. In South Africa, Cape and Natal ordinances likewise used grants-in-aid and regulatory oversight to privilege white-controlled public and denominational schools, while African education went underfunded and segregation was reinforced. Through a comparative analysis of policy texts, administrative practices and their social effects, the article shows how fiscal instruments and regulatory mechanisms worked together to reshape local knowledge systems, marginalize indigenous pedagogies and construct new hierarchies of race, class and gender. It concludes that these colonial arrangements had lasting effects, and that contemporary debates on decolonizing education must confront how funding regimes, state power and epistemic violence were historically entangled.

*Corresponding Author

Deepshikha Singh

Research Scholar, Department of African Studies, University of Delhi, New Delhi, India.

Keywords: Political economy of colonial education, Grants-in-aid system, Wood’s Dispatch (1854), Colonial state control, Indigenous pedagogies, knowledge systems, Missionary and denominational schools, epistemic violence, segregation.

Introduction

The expansion of colonial schooling in the second half of the nineteenth century was a central component of the political economy of empire in both India and South Africa. Far from being neutral instruments of enlightenment, schools were sites where fiscal priorities, classificatory projects and ideological struggles over labour, citizenship and race were materialized. (Venkatanarayanan, 2013, p. 5).

In India, British educational policy shifted from early non-intervention to a more systematic engagement through charter acts, dispatches and commissions, culminating in an elaborate grants-in-aid system that tied financial assistance to conformity with state objectives. In South Africa, especially in the Cape Colony and Natal, education ordinances created

departmental structures and funding formulas that favoured white schools, while mission schools for Africans were tightly regulated and minimally supported. (“In Search of ‘One Good Public School’”, 2017, p. 2).

Studying colonial education through the lens of political economy allows us to foreground the interconnections between revenue systems, budgetary priorities, labour demands and ideological control. (Chaudhary, 2007, p. 3) It also shows how seemingly technical instruments, such as grants-in-aid, inspection rules and examination regimes, functioned as disciplinary technologies that reconfigured local knowledge systems and social hierarchies.

The article proceeds in six sections. It first offers a historical overview of education in colonial India and South Africa

between 1850 and 1900, then examines the emergence and functioning of the grants-in-aid system. It next analyses mechanisms of state control in both contexts, followed by a comparative discussion of convergences and divergences in colonial educational strategies, and concludes with reflections on legacies and directions for future research.

Historical Overview: Colonial Education, 1850–1900

Education in Colonial India

By 1850, British educational interventions in India had already moved from ad hoc support for Oriental learning to a more pronounced endorsement of Western, especially English-medium, education. The Charter Act of 1813 and subsequent policy debates paved the way for Wood's Dispatch of 1854, often described as the "Magna Carta" of English education in India. (IGNOU Unit 32, 2012, p. 4).

Wood's Dispatch articulated a comprehensive scheme for a hierarchical system of education: vernacular primary schools, Anglo-vernacular middle schools, and English-language colleges, all linked through examinations and supervised by newly constituted departments of public instruction in the provinces. It also authorized public funds for private and missionary institutions through grants-in-aid, provided they met conditions on management, curriculum and inspection. This embedded fiscal instruments directly into the governance of education. (Venkatanarayanan, 2013, p. 8).

In the decades that followed, commissions such as the Hunter Commission of 1882 examined the uneven expansion of primary education and recommended greater reliance on local bodies and aided institutions to reduce the direct fiscal burden on the colonial state. While enrollment grew, the benefits were highly stratified: English-educated elites, often from upper-caste or urban backgrounds, gained access to new bureaucratic and professional opportunities, whereas rural and lower-caste populations remained largely excluded or confined to poorly resourced vernacular schools. (Chaudhary, 2007, pp. 10–12).

At the same time, indigenous institutions such as pathshalas, makhtabs and madrasas were subject to either neglect or targeted reform, as colonial officials criticized them for lacking standardized curricula and "useful" subjects, using fiscal and regulatory tools to reshape or displace existing knowledge systems. The resulting educational landscape combined a limited modern sector, tightly integrated into colonial administration, with a residual sphere of indigenous learning under pressure to conform or disappear.

Education in Colonial South Africa

In South Africa, education policy between 1850 and 1900 was fragmented across multiple colonies, including Cape Colony, Natal, the Orange Free State and the Transvaal, but shared common features of racial stratification and fiscal pragmatism. In the Cape, the mid-nineteenth century saw a transition from missionary-dominated schooling to a more state-directed system, as authorities sought to create "one good public school" as a model institution for the colony's white population. ("In Search of 'One Good Public School'", 2017, pp. 3–4).

Cape ordinances established an education department, school boards and funding mechanisms based on capitation grants and inspection, with public and denominational schools for whites receiving regular support, while mission schools serving Africans and Coloured communities depended heavily on voluntary contributions and intermittent state assistance.

The language of "civilizing" and "uplift" coexisted with explicit racial exclusions, as many ordinances used categories such as "white persons only" in specifying eligibility for certain grants and institutional recognition. ("White persons only", 1956, p. 1).

Natal and the Boer republics pursued similar strategies, albeit with distinct institutional arrangements, typically combining subsidies to white schools with minimal, tightly controlled support for African education. Across these territories, education policy was bound up with labour needs in agriculture, mining and domestic service, and with political efforts to secure settler dominance through literacy tests, property qualifications and other mechanisms that linked civic rights to particular kinds of schooling. ("In Search of 'One Good Public School'", 2017, p. 7).

Indigenous pedagogies and community-based forms of learning were largely ignored or framed as obstacles to progress, much as in India, and were rarely recognized for grants-in-aid unless they accepted missionary or state oversight and curriculum directives. The resulting system entrenched racial divisions in the access, quality and purpose of schooling; the more rigid segregation of the twentieth century built directly on these foundations. ("White persons only", 1956, p. 3).

Grants-in-Aid as Instruments of Colonial Political Economy

Fiscal Logic of Grants-in-Aid in India

The grants-in-aid system in India emerged out of both ideological and fiscal considerations. On the ideological side, British officials argued that supporting denominational and private initiatives through conditional grants would encourage "voluntary effort" while ensuring that schools conformed to state-approved secular curricula. On the fiscal side, grants-in-aid allowed the government to limit direct expenditure by leveraging local and missionary resources, thus minimizing the budgetary impact of educational expansion. (IJRAH, 2023, p. 2).

Wood's Dispatch recommended that assistance be calculated on the basis of performance, often measured through examination results, and on adherence to prescribed standards of buildings, management and accounting. This introduced a quasi-market logic into education: schools competed for grants by aligning their practices with government expectations, while those that retained indigenous curricula or languages struggled to qualify. (Chaudhary, 2007, pp. 15–16). By the late nineteenth century, provincial governments used grants-in-aid extensively to fund aided middle schools and colleges, and complex relationships developed with Christian missionary societies, local elites and municipal boards. Scholars have noted that this system helped produce an English-educated "middle class" that served as clerks, teachers and minor officials: intermediaries who facilitated administration and commerce while remaining politically subordinate. (Chaudhary, 2007, pp. 20–22).

However, grants-in-aid also had exclusionary effects. The insistence on secular instruction and English or standardized vernacular curricula marginalized institutions that centred Islamic, Sanskrit or other indigenous epistemologies, even when these enjoyed strong community support. In rural regions, where local contributions were limited, grants were often insufficient to maintain viable schools, and this widened spatial and class disparities in educational provision. (IJRAH, 2023, pp. 4–5).

Grants-in-Aid and Racialized Funding in South Africa

In South Africa, grants-in-aid played a similarly pivotal role but were explicitly racialized in design and implementation. Cape education ordinances established varying grant rates for different categories of schools, often distinguishing between “public” (predominantly white), “denominational” and “mission” schools. Public and denominational schools for whites received substantial capitation grants, building subsidies and teacher salary support, while African and Coloured mission schools typically received lower amounts and faced stricter conditions. (In Search of ‘One Good Public School’, 2017, pp. 5–6).

The stipulation of “white persons only” for certain grants, documented in mid-twentieth-century administrative records but rooted in earlier practices, illustrates how fiscal instruments were used to institutionalize racial privilege. Grants-in-aid became a mechanism through which the state could reward conformity and loyalty among settler communities, while disciplining missions and African institutions that challenged colonial authority or sought more autonomous curricula. (White persons only, 1956, p. 2).

Funding formulas often tied grant eligibility to the teaching of English or Dutch, the use of approved textbooks, and the acceptance of government inspection. This mirrored Indian policies but was applied within a sharply segregated racial context. Missionaries who wished to prioritize African languages or incorporate indigenous knowledge into the curriculum faced the threat of reduced or withdrawn grants, which gave them strong incentives to align with state-sanctioned pedagogies. (In Search of ‘One Good Public School’, 2017, p. 8).

From a political-economy perspective, these grants supported the reproduction of a literate white settler workforce suited for administrative, commercial and professional roles, while maintaining African education at a level adequate only for subordinate labour. This dual strategy minimized public expenditure on the colonized majority while securing the social and ideological infrastructure necessary for mining and agricultural capitalism. (In Search of ‘One Good Public School’, 2017, pp. 9–10).

State Control of Education: Governance, Inspection and Curriculum

Bureaucratic Control in India

Grants-in-aid in India were embedded within a broader architecture of state control that included departments of public instruction, inspectorates and standardized examination systems. Provincial directors of public instruction oversaw networks of inspectors who visited schools, assessed compliance with regulations and reported on student performance; this administrative oversight extended deep into local society. (IGNOU Unit 32, 2012, pp. 6–7)

Inspection reports influenced grant allocations and recognition, and this reinforced a culture of “payment by results” in which quantitative indicators such as attendance, pass rates, and compliance with curricular norms were privileged over community relevance or indigenous knowledge transmission. As Venkatanarayanan (2013, p. 12) notes, this bureaucratic regime gradually subordinated local schooling practices to centralized standards and contributed to the decline of autonomous indigenous institutions.

Curriculum control was another key dimension. The state promoted subjects such as English, arithmetic and “moral” instruction framed through Victorian values, while relegating traditional literatures, religious studies and local histories to

peripheral or non-examined status. Textbook committees and university senates had significant say over what counted as legitimate knowledge, and this shaped the epistemic orientation of the emerging educated classes. (Chaudhary, 2007, pp. 24–26).

Regulation also extended to teacher training and certification. Normal schools and training colleges offered standardized pedagogical methods aligned with British models, and teachers who did not possess recognized credentials found it difficult to participate in aided schooling. This further eroded the position of traditional instructors. (IGNOU Unit 32, 2012, p. 9).

Administrative and Legal Control in South Africa

In South Africa, state control took somewhat different institutional forms but pursued similar objectives of standardization, surveillance and racial ordering. Cape education authorities, for instance, established school boards and district councils that managed public schools, appointed inspectors and enforced regulations on curriculum, language and discipline. (In Search of ‘One Good Public School’, 2017, pp. 4–5).

Legal instruments, including ordinances and later acts, defined the conditions under which schools could receive grants, employ certified teachers and issue recognized certificates. These conditions often required adherence to denominational or state-approved religious instruction for white schools, while restricting African schools to narrowly defined “industrial” and “elementary” curricula oriented toward manual labour and domestic service. (“White persons only”, 1956, pp. 2–3).

Inspection systems monitored discipline and ideological conformity as much as academic performance. Inspectors reported on the “loyalty” and “moral character” of teachers and students, particularly in mission schools serving Africans, and missions that were seen as politically subversive or too independent risked losing state support. This shows the coercive dimension of grants-linked oversight. (In Search of ‘One Good Public School’, 2017, p. 9).

Language policy was central to control efforts. Authorities emphasized English and Dutch/Afrikaans in white schools, while discouraging the use of African languages beyond rudimentary literacy, thereby reinforcing linguistic hierarchies that mapped onto racial and class divisions. This echoes Indian debates over English versus vernacular education, but in South Africa the stakes were heightened by the direct linkage between language, racial identity and political rights. (In Search of ‘One Good Public School’, 2017, p. 10).

Comparative Analysis: India and South Africa

Shared Logics of Colonial Educational Political Economy

A comparative view reveals several convergences between India and South Africa in the political economy of colonial education between 1850 and 1900. First, both contexts saw the emergence of grants-in-aid as a fiscal technique that allowed colonial states to expand schooling without bearing the full costs, by leveraging missionary and local resources under conditional support. (IJRAH, 2023, p. 3).

Second, state control over education intensified through the creation of specialized departments, inspectorates and standardized examinations, which collectively reconfigured schooling from a diverse set of local practices into hierarchical systems governed by centralized norms. In both cases, inspection and regulation tied financial support to conformity with approved curricula, languages and

pedagogical methods, and this disciplined both institutions and teachers. (Venkatanarayanan, 2013, p. 12).

Third, education policy was closely linked to labour demands and broader projects of social engineering. In India, English-educated intermediaries staffed the bureaucracy and white-collar sectors, while limited vernacular primary education supported basic literacy for a small fraction of the rural population. In South Africa, white schools provided settlers with the skills needed for administrative and commercial roles, while African education was kept at a level conducive to cheap, controlled labour in mines and farms. ("In Search of 'One Good Public School'", 2017, pp. 6–7).

Fourth, both regimes marginalized indigenous knowledge systems and local pedagogies. Traditional institutions in India, and community-based African learning practices in South Africa, were either excluded from grants-in-aid or forced to adopt Western curricula and examination structures. The result was epistemic homogenization and the erosion of plural intellectual traditions.

Divergent Racial and Imperial Contexts

Despite these similarities, important differences complicate any simple equivalence between the two cases. In India, the colonial state confronted a vast, demographically dominant colonized population and no permanent settler majority. This produced a cautious strategy that expanded a small English-educated elite while avoiding mass education that might foster dissent. (Chaudhary, 2007, pp. 18–20).

In South Africa, by contrast, the presence of powerful white settler communities and the political fragmentation across

colonies produced education policies that explicitly privileged white schooling and relegated Africans to marginal, mission-based provision. Racial categories were more overtly encoded in funding rules and legal texts, as illustrated by the "white persons only" stipulations for certain grants and institutional benefits. ('White persons only', 1956, p. 1).

The relationship between the colonial state and missionary societies also differed across contexts. In India, missions competed with secular aided schools and indigenous institutions; while many missionaries accepted grants-in-aid, others relied on voluntary support to preserve doctrinal autonomy, and this led to complex negotiations with the state. In South Africa, missions were often the primary providers of African education and became heavily entangled with settler politics. They sometimes served as intermediaries between Africans and the colonial state, but were frequently constrained by racially discriminatory funding and inspection regimes. (In Search of 'One Good Public School', 2017, pp. 8–9).

Finally, the legal and constitutional frameworks of education displayed distinct trajectories. Indian education policy evolved under a centralized imperial government, with dispatches and commissions shaping policy across provinces. South African territories, by contrast, had separate ordinances and departments that reflected local settler priorities, and this produced variations in the extent and form of state control. These differences affected the pace and pattern of educational expansion, the role of local elites and the opportunities for resistance. (Venkatanarayanan, 2013, pp. 13–14).

Comparative Table

Dimension	India (1850–1900)	South Africa (Cape/Natal/Boer republics, 1850–1900).
Main instruments	Grants-in-aid, education departments, inspectorates, examinations.	Grants-in-aid, education laws, inspectorates, examinations.
Fiscal basis	Land revenue and provincial taxation, large inter-provincial disparities.	Mixed colonial revenues, shaped by settler politics and agrarian economies.
Social targeting	Urban middle classes, emerging professional elites; limited rural mass education.	White and coloured populations; underfunded African mission schools.
Language policy	English for higher levels; vernaculars in primary but unevenly supported.	English and Dutch/Afrikaans for settlers; African languages marginalized.
Missionary role	Significant but balanced with indigenous and government schools; contested influence.	Central for African schooling; heavily dependent on aid and subject to control.
Long-term legacy	Elite-biased system, strong universities, persistent rural and caste inequalities.	Stratified, racially segmented system prefiguring apartheid structures.

Conclusion

Legacies and Directions for Future Research

This article has argued that colonial education in India and South Africa between 1850 and 1900 must be understood as part of a wider political-economic project that combined fiscal prudence, administrative rationalization and ideological control. Grants-in-aid, far from being neutral financial instruments, functioned as levers through which the state could shape the organizational landscape of schooling, reward compliant institutions and marginalize those rooted in indigenous epistemologies or resistant to colonial authority. (IJRAH, 2023, pp. 3–5).

In both contexts, the expansion of inspection systems, standardized curricula and certification regimes intensified state control over education. This produced new hierarchies of race, class and gender while displacing or subordinating local knowledge systems. At the same time, differences in settler presence, racial ordering and constitutional structures led to divergent patterns: a cautious, elite-oriented strategy in India,

and an explicitly racialized system in South Africa that privileged white schools and left African education underfunded. (Chaudhary, 2007, pp. 20–22; White persons only, 1956, pp. 2–3).

Future research could deepen this comparative perspective in several ways. First, micro-historical studies of particular districts or mission networks could illuminate how grants-in-aid and inspection were experienced from below, including forms of everyday negotiation and resistance by teachers, students and communities. Second, interdisciplinary work drawing on critical political economy, indigenous knowledge studies and decolonial theory could further unpack the epistemic dimensions of colonial education, tracing how funding and regulatory regimes shaped what counted as legitimate knowledge and whose voices were heard in the classroom. (IGNOU Unit 32, 2012, pp. 10–11).

Third, comparative analyses extending beyond India and South Africa to other colonies, such as Sri Lanka, Nigeria or the Caribbean, could reveal broader patterns and variations in

the use of grants-in-aid and state control within the British imperial education project. Finally, contemporary policy debates on decolonizing curricula, democratizing access and redesigning funding mechanisms would benefit from a historically grounded understanding of how colonial fiscal and regulatory arrangements continue to shape educational inequalities and epistemic hierarchies today. (Venkatanarayanan, 2013, pp. 15–16).

References

1. Chaudhary L. An economic history of education in colonial India (Working paper). Department of Economics, University of California, Riverside, 2007. Retrieved from <https://economics.ucr.edu/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/LatikaChaudhary5-6-07.pdf>.
2. IGNOU. Unit 32: Colonial intervention: Education and social change. In Bachelor of Arts course materials. Indira Gandhi National Open University, 2012. Retrieved from <https://egyankosh.ac.in/bitstream/123456789/102555/1/Unit-32.pdf>.
3. *Integrated Journal for Research in Arts and Humanities (IJRAH)*. The beginnings of the grants-in-aid system to fund school education in United Provinces in modern India. *Integrated Journal for Research in Arts and Humanities*. 2023; 2(4). Retrieved from <https://ijrah.com/index.php/ijrah/article/view/347>.
4. In Search of ‘One Good Public School’: The Cape colonial education project, 1865–1873. *Journal of Southern African Studies*. 2017; 43(6):1015–1030. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03057070.2017.1375801>.
5. White persons only. Archival document on racial restrictions in education funding, 1956. Retrieved from <https://sahistory.org.za/sites/default/files/archive-files2/asoct56.6.pdf>.