

## Reimagining English Literature Pedagogy: Integrating Indigenous Knowledge Systems

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Shweta K Kapoor and <sup>2</sup>Neeraj Kumar

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of English, HNB Garhwal University, Uttarakhand, India.

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of English, HNB Garhwal University, Uttarakhand, India.

### Article Info.

**E-ISSN: 2583-6528**

**Impact Factor (SJIF): 6.876**

**Peer Reviewed Journal**

**Available online:**

[www.alladvancejournal.com](http://www.alladvancejournal.com)

**Received:** 26/ June/2025

**Accepted:** 28/July/2025

### Abstract

This paper explores the intersection of English Literature pedagogy and Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS), proposing a reimagined approach that integrates indigenous epistemologies with contemporary literary studies. The study examines how oral traditions, storytelling techniques, community-based learning, and environmental consciousness inherent in IKS can enrich the teaching and interpretation of English literature. The role of indigenous languages, folklore, and spiritual narratives in literary discourse further strengthens the argument for a holistic approach to literature education. By decolonizing literary curricula and incorporating indigenous worldviews, educators can create a more inclusive, diverse, and culturally responsive literary pedagogy that aligns with the principles of sustainability, communal wisdom, and holistic education.

IKS, deeply rooted in historical and cultural narratives, provides an alternative framework for understanding literature beyond the traditional Eurocentric model. Many indigenous cultures possess rich oral literatures, such as the griot tradition in West Africa <sup>[1]</sup> and the Dreamtime stories of Australian Aboriginal communities <sup>[2]</sup>. These traditions contribute to a collective understanding of history, ethics, and cultural identity, which is often neglected in Western-centric literary analysis. By incorporating such narratives into literary pedagogy, we can expand literary studies to recognize and value diverse modes of storytelling.

Moreover, the ecological consciousness embedded in IKS presents a critical perspective for analysing literature. Indigenous philosophies emphasize an intrinsic connection between humans and nature, a theme evident in the works of indigenous writers such as Linda Hogan <sup>[3]</sup> and N. Scott Momaday <sup>[4]</sup>. Teaching literature through an ecocritical lens informed by IKS allows students to explore sustainability and environmental ethics in ways that resonate with contemporary global concerns. Additionally, integrating IKS in literature courses fosters a pedagogy of inclusivity, ensuring that diverse perspectives are acknowledged and valued. This paper thus aims to highlight the significance of rethinking literary pedagogy by integrating IKS, drawing on case studies, theoretical foundations, and practical applications. By challenging the dominance of Western literary frameworks and embracing indigenous epistemologies, the future of English literature teaching can move towards a more comprehensive and equitable educational paradigm.

**Keywords:** Indigenous Knowledge Systems, English Literature, Decolonization, Oral Traditions, Ecocriticism, Linguistic Diversity, Postcolonial Pedagogy

### \*Corresponding Author

**Dr. Shweta K Kapoor**

Assistant Professor, Department of English, HNB Garhwal University, Uttarakhand, India.

### 1. Introduction

The future of English literature hinges on the necessity to incorporate diverse literary traditions and knowledge systems. Historically, English literature has been taught predominantly through a Western lens, privileging canonical authors while marginalizing indigenous literary forms. The Eurocentric focus on texts from the British and American canon has resulted in a limited representation of narratives that

encapsulate non-Western perspectives <sup>[5]</sup>. However, as educational institutions move toward inclusivity, it is crucial to recognize the value of Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) in shaping the discipline's evolution.

IKS encompasses a broad range of oral traditions, folklore, and epistemological frameworks that predate the written word. Many indigenous societies rely on storytelling as a method of preserving history, teaching ethical conduct, and

fostering community cohesion [6]. These traditions, which include African griot storytelling, Aboriginal Dreamtime narratives, and Native American oral histories, challenge conventional literary structures by prioritizing collective memory and intergenerational knowledge transmission [7]. By integrating IKS, literary studies can become more equitable and reflective of the diverse ways humans have historically engaged with storytelling, ethics, and epistemology.

Furthermore, the resurgence of indigenous literary movements has demonstrated the necessity of re-examining English literature curricula. Writers such as Chinua Achebe, Alexis Wright, and Leslie Marmon Silko have redefined literary expression by incorporating oral storytelling techniques into contemporary narratives [8]. Their works highlight the interdependence of culture, language, and identity, offering valuable insights into indigenous worldviews that contrast with Western individualistic narratives [9]. Recognizing these contributions and embedding them into academic syllabi ensures that the study of literature remains relevant and responsive to the cultural multiplicity of a globalized world.

Additionally, the inclusion of IKS into English literature pedagogy fosters interdisciplinary learning by bridging literary analysis with anthropology, history, and environmental studies. Indigenous texts often emphasize holistic worldviews, in which the natural and spiritual realms are deeply intertwined [10]. This perspective offers an alternative approach to literary criticism, particularly in ecocriticism and sustainability studies, which are gaining prominence in academic discourse [11]. Through the incorporation of IKS, English literature can evolve into a more dynamic and inclusive field that not only examines texts but also recognizes the cultural practices and knowledge systems that shape literary expression.

## 2. Review of Literature

A growing body of scholarship supports the integration of IKS into English literary studies. Smith (1999) emphasizes the decolonization of education as a means of empowering indigenous narratives within academia. Similarly, Ngugi wa Thiong'o (1986) advocates for the use of indigenous languages and storytelling methods to counteract the erasure of non-Western epistemologies in literary discourse.

The importance of IKS in English literature is further highlighted by Spivak (1988), who underscores the necessity of giving voice to subaltern narratives and challenging Eurocentric epistemologies [12]. Additionally, Ashcroft, Griffiths, and Tiffin (2002) analyze the postcolonial condition and argue for the inclusion of oral traditions and indigenous storytelling within literary frameworks [13]. They emphasize how indigenous worldviews can contribute to a more nuanced understanding of literature and history.

Battiste (2002) discusses the role of indigenous pedagogies in fostering holistic and community-oriented learning experiences. She argues that literature curricula should engage with indigenous oral traditions to create culturally relevant frameworks for literary interpretation. Meanwhile, Dei (2011) highlights the importance of indigenous philosophy in reshaping educational methodologies, particularly in literature and the arts. Dei's work suggests that acknowledging indigenous ways of knowing not only enriches literary studies but also dismantles the hierarchical structures that have historically marginalized non-Western epistemologies [14].

In the context of African oral traditions, Johnson (1986) explores how the *Epic of Son-Jara* functions as both a literary

text and a pedagogical tool that preserves historical and moral values. Broome (2001) extends this discussion to Australian Aboriginal literature, showcasing how oral storytelling traditions sustain cultural identity and environmental consciousness. Similarly, Arnold Krupat (1992) addresses Native American storytelling traditions and their impact on American literary studies, advocating for a broader understanding of narrative that transcends written forms [15]. Asian literature, particularly indigenous narratives from South and Southeast Asia, has also been a focal point of postcolonial discourse. Scholars such as Thumboo (2003) and Ghosh (2008) argue that indigenous literature provides a counterpoint to colonial representations, demonstrating the resilience of traditional storytelling methods [16]. Thumboo's research highlights how oral traditions from indigenous Malay and Indian cultures influence contemporary literary forms, reinforcing the need to integrate these perspectives into mainstream English literary curricula.

These studies collectively suggest that incorporating IKS in English literary studies can provide a more inclusive and diverse framework for textual analysis, aligning with broader movements toward decolonized education. Furthermore, they emphasize the significance of reinterpreting English literary traditions through the lens of indigenous knowledge, ensuring that literature education remains dynamic, inclusive, and culturally relevant.

## 3. Theoretical Foundations: Decolonizing Literary Studies

The decolonization of literary studies involves dismantling the dominance of Western literary canons and incorporating indigenous texts, voices, and storytelling methodologies. Scholars such as Ngugi wa Thiong'o (1986) and Linda Tuhiwai Smith (1999) emphasize the importance of reclaiming indigenous epistemologies in education. This paper situates itself within postcolonial theory and indigenous critical pedagogies to propose a future-oriented English literature curriculum that embraces multiple knowledge traditions.

One of the primary goals of decolonization is to challenge the Eurocentric narrative that has historically defined the field of literary studies. As argued by Fanon (1961), colonial education systems were designed to alienate indigenous populations from their cultural heritage and impose Western literary standards [17]. Similarly, Said (1978) critiques the Orientalist perspective, which frames non-Western cultures through an exoticized and often distorted lens, further marginalizing indigenous literary voices [18].

A crucial aspect of decolonization involves recognizing the legitimacy of oral traditions as a form of literary knowledge. Finnegan (1992) argues that oral literature, which has sustained cultural knowledge for centuries, should be regarded as equally significant as written texts in literary discourse [19]. This perspective is supported by scholars such as Walter Ong (1982), who demonstrates how oral traditions function as complex systems of knowledge transmission, embodying cultural values and historical consciousness [20].

Additionally, indigenous literary frameworks often emphasize relationality, spirituality, and interconnectedness with nature. This contrasts with the individualistic, linear narratives that dominate Western literature. Kuokkanen (2007) highlights the concept of indigenous methodologies as holistic and participatory, stressing the need to incorporate indigenous epistemologies in literary pedagogy [21]. Furthermore, Anzaldúa (1987) discusses borderland epistemologies, which

transcend colonial binaries and offer a hybridized approach to knowledge creation [22]. The integration of indigenous knowledge into English literary studies aligns with broader efforts to reclaim cultural sovereignty and resist epistemic colonization. As Grande (2015) suggests, reimagining literary pedagogy through indigenous frameworks fosters intellectual self-determination and challenges the persistent hegemony of Western thought [23]. By expanding the literary canon to include indigenous narratives, scholars can promote a more inclusive and diverse understanding of literary expression.

#### 4. Indigenous Knowledge Systems in Literary Pedagogy

##### 4.1 Oral Traditions and Storytelling

Indigenous knowledge is often transmitted through oral traditions, including myths, folklore, epics, and historical narratives. These traditions serve as repositories of history, cultural identity, and moral philosophy, ensuring the intergenerational transmission of knowledge in societies without written records [24]. Unlike Western literary traditions that prioritize the written word, oral storytelling relies on performative elements, communal participation, and fluidity, allowing narratives to evolve over time while maintaining their cultural significance [25].

Integrating oral traditions into English literature curricula allows students to engage with diverse literary forms that extend beyond the written text, enriching their interpretative skills and cultural awareness. By studying the *Epic of Son-Jara* (Johnson, 1986), students can compare African griot traditions with Homeric epics, gaining insight into the role of oral historians in preserving social memory [26]. Similarly, the Dreamtime stories of Australian Aboriginal cultures provide a unique lens through which to examine environmental and spiritual consciousness, offering a counter-narrative to Western ecological discourse [27].

Oral storytelling also offers an opportunity to rethink literary analysis through indigenous methodologies. In Native American traditions, for instance, storytelling is non-linear, cyclical, and deeply embedded in ritualistic and communal settings. Authors such as Leslie Marmon Silko incorporate these storytelling techniques into their works, challenging conventional Western narrative structures [28]. The integration of such texts into English literature courses provides students with a broader understanding of narrative diversity and the epistemological frameworks that shape literary expression.

Furthermore, oral traditions often serve as political tools of resistance. During periods of colonial oppression, indigenous communities used oral storytelling to preserve forbidden histories and assert their identities. Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o (1986) emphasizes how storytelling in indigenous languages fosters cultural resilience and subverts linguistic imperialism [29]. By incorporating indigenous oral traditions into literary curricula, educators can help students recognize the political significance of literature and the power of narrative in shaping historical consciousness.

Ultimately, engaging with oral storytelling traditions enriches English literary studies by expanding students' interpretative frameworks, fostering cross-cultural dialogue, and challenging Eurocentric notions of literary value. Through an interdisciplinary approach that combines literature, anthropology, and history, English literary pedagogy can evolve into a more inclusive and dynamic field.

##### 4.3 Community-Based Learning

IKS emphasizes collective learning and community engagement. Literature classes can incorporate storytelling

circles, interactive dialogues with indigenous elders, and participatory projects that situate literary studies within real-world contexts. Such approaches foster a deeper appreciation of literature as a lived and evolving experience rather than a static textual analysis.

Community-based learning in literature is particularly effective when it includes collaborations with indigenous communities. By engaging in oral history projects, students can document and analyze indigenous storytelling traditions, gaining firsthand insights into cultural preservation and knowledge transmission [30]. This participatory approach aligns with the indigenous concept of relational learning, which prioritizes knowledge as a communal rather than an individual pursuit [31].

One example of this approach is the integration of Native American storytelling in literature courses through direct collaboration with tribal elders. In many Native American communities, elders serve as knowledge keepers, preserving cultural memory and spiritual wisdom through storytelling. In classroom settings, inviting elders to share their narratives allows students to experience literature as a living, interactive medium rather than a static text [32]. Similarly, Māori education systems in New Zealand incorporate *wānanga*-spaces for communal learning-where students engage with oral traditions in a culturally immersive setting [33].

Another method of community-based learning involves students participating in digital storytelling projects. These initiatives, which have been successfully implemented in Australian Aboriginal studies programs, allow students to record and share indigenous narratives through multimedia platforms, thus bridging traditional storytelling with contemporary digital literacy [34]. Such projects not only enhance students' technical and analytical skills but also reinforce the importance of preserving oral traditions for future generations.

Moreover, literature courses that incorporate experiential learning opportunities-such as field visits to indigenous cultural sites or participation in storytelling festivals-create a more dynamic and engaged educational experience. In Africa, for instance, students studying the *Epic of Son-Jara* often participate in griot-led discussions that deepen their understanding of oral poetic traditions and their role in historical consciousness [35].

By embedding community-based learning into English literature pedagogy, educators foster a more inclusive and participatory academic environment that values indigenous epistemologies. These approaches encourage students to see literature not merely as a textual artifact but as an evolving, interactive form of knowledge shaped by collective experiences.

#### Ecocriticism and Environmental Narratives

IKS inherently values the relationship between humans and nature. Indigenous ecological philosophies emphasize harmony, sustainability, and reciprocity with the environment, offering a contrast to the exploitative tendencies often embedded in Western industrial and literary narratives [36]. The interconnectedness of land, identity, and spiritual traditions in indigenous literature provides a rich foundation for ecocritical analysis [37].

Incorporating indigenous perspectives on environmental ethics into literary analysis can enhance ecocritical readings of texts. Works like Amitav Ghosh's *The Great Derangement* highlight the failures of modern literature to adequately address climate change, while indigenous

storytelling traditions have long acknowledged environmental stewardship as an ethical responsibility [38]. Indigenous poetry from Australia, such as the works of Oodgeroo Noonuccal, underscores the deep-seated relationship between Aboriginal Australians and their land, often conveying resistance against ecological destruction and colonial land dispossession [39]. Similarly, African oral traditions, including the poetry of Niyi Osundare, emphasize ecological balance and sustainability, reflecting an intrinsic respect for nature [40].

North American indigenous literature further reinforces environmental ethics. Writers such as Linda Hogan and Joy Harjo embed ecological consciousness into their poetry and fiction, highlighting themes of land guardianship, ancestral wisdom, and environmental justice [41]. The integration of these works into English literature curricula provides students with an opportunity to explore alternative environmental philosophies that challenge the anthropocentric narratives dominant in Western literature [42].

By juxtaposing indigenous environmental narratives with traditional Western texts, literature courses can foster a broader and more ethical discourse on ecological crises. This approach not only deepens literary analysis but also encourages students to critically engage with contemporary environmental issues through diverse cultural lenses.

#### 4.4 Linguistic Diversity and Indigenous Language Revival

The incorporation of indigenous languages and bilingual texts in English literature courses can challenge linguistic hegemony and celebrate linguistic plurality. Teaching literature from multilingual indigenous authors, such as Gabriel García Márquez, Chinua Achebe, and Mahasweta Devi, can provide students with insights into linguistic hybridity and cultural translation.

Indigenous languages are often deeply tied to cultural identity, oral traditions, and ways of knowing. The loss of these languages due to colonial suppression and globalization has resulted in a decline in traditional knowledge transmission [43]. Incorporating indigenous literature written in native languages or translated texts in English literature courses allows students to recognize linguistic diversity as an essential part of cultural sustainability [44]. Authors like Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o advocate for writing in indigenous languages to resist linguistic imperialism and preserve cultural narratives [45].

Many contemporary indigenous writers use code-switching, bilingualism, and linguistic hybridity to convey the richness of indigenous epistemologies. Leslie Marmon Silko's *Ceremony* integrates Laguna Pueblo language elements into English narration, reinforcing the cultural importance of indigenous linguistic structures [46]. Similarly, Gloria Anzaldúa's *Borderlands/La Frontera* presents a hybrid linguistic landscape, weaving together English and Spanish to reflect the mestiza experience [47]. These works challenge traditional Western linguistic norms and offer alternative literary models rooted in indigenous cultural experiences.

Furthermore, efforts to revitalize endangered indigenous languages through literature have gained momentum in recent years. The Maori language revival movement in New Zealand has influenced contemporary Maori literature, making it a crucial part of postcolonial literary studies [48]. Similar efforts in Canada have led to the publication of bilingual works in English and Cree, Inuktitut, or Anishinaabemowin, ensuring that indigenous perspectives are embedded within the literary landscape [49].

By integrating indigenous language literature and bilingual texts into English literature curricula, educators can promote linguistic diversity, challenge monolingual biases, and enrich students' understanding of cultural plurality. Such an approach fosters a more inclusive and globally aware literary pedagogy that respects and amplifies indigenous voices.

#### 5. Conclusion and Future Directions

The future of English literature pedagogy must embrace Indigenous Knowledge Systems to create a more inclusive and ethically responsible curriculum. By integrating oral traditions, environmental consciousness, linguistic diversity, and community-based learning, literature education can foster a deeper engagement with cultural plurality and critical thinking. This approach not only enriches literary studies but also aligns with the broader goals of decolonizing knowledge and honoring indigenous epistemologies in academia.

Looking ahead, the integration of IKS into English literature pedagogy offers promising opportunities for interdisciplinary learning. Future curricula should encourage collaboration between literary studies, anthropology, linguistics, and environmental studies, ensuring that indigenous knowledge is not treated as an isolated subject but rather as an integral part of a holistic education system [50]. Moreover, digital humanities initiatives can facilitate the preservation and dissemination of indigenous literary traditions, making oral histories and multilingual texts more accessible to a global audience [51]. Such efforts would bridge the gap between traditional storytelling and contemporary technological advancements, allowing for a more dynamic and participatory approach to literary education.

Another crucial aspect of this pedagogical shift is the role of policy-making in institutionalizing indigenous literary perspectives. Governments and educational bodies must implement policies that support the inclusion of indigenous texts in academic syllabi, promote bilingual education, and provide funding for research in indigenous literature and languages [52]. Furthermore, developing open-access educational resources that incorporate indigenous perspectives will ensure that students from diverse backgrounds can engage with these materials without economic barriers [53].

Teacher training and capacity-building programs are also essential to this transformation. Educators must be equipped with the necessary knowledge and tools to effectively integrate indigenous literary traditions into their teaching methodologies. Workshops, collaborations with indigenous scholars, and community engagement projects should be prioritized to foster a more inclusive and culturally responsive pedagogy [54].

Ultimately, the future of English literature lies in its ability to evolve beyond the confines of Western-centric frameworks and embrace the richness of global literary traditions. By prioritizing Indigenous Knowledge Systems, literary studies can cultivate a more inclusive, diverse, and intellectually robust field, one that values multiple ways of knowing and storytelling. The recognition and incorporation of IKS in literary pedagogy will not only strengthen academic discourse but also contribute to the larger goal of cultural preservation and knowledge equity in a rapidly globalizing world [55].

#### Endnotes

1. Johnson JW. *The Epic of Son-Jara: A West African Tradition*. Indiana University Press, 1986.

2. Broome R. Aboriginal Australians: A History Since 1788. Allen & Unwin, 2001.
3. Hogan L. Dwellings: A Spiritual History of the Living World. W.W. Norton & Company, 1995.
4. Momaday NS. House Made of Dawn. Harper & Row, 1968.
5. Spivak GC. Can the Subaltern Speak? In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture. University of Illinois Press, 1988, 271-313.
6. Vansina J. Oral Tradition as History. University of Wisconsin Press, 1985.
7. Finnegan R. Oral Traditions and the Verbal Arts: A Guide to Research Practices. Routledge, 1992.
8. Achebe C. Things Fall Apart. Heinemann, 1958.
9. Silko LM. Ceremony. Penguin, 1977.
10. Wright A. Carpentaria. Giramondo Publishing, 2006.
11. Glotfelty C, Fromm H. The Ecocriticism Reader: Landmarks in Literary Ecology. University of Georgia Press, 1996.
12. Spivak GC. Can the Subaltern Speak? In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture. University of Illinois Press, 1988, 271-313.
13. Ashcroft B, Griffiths G, Tiffin H. The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-Colonial Literatures. Routledge, 2002.
14. Dei GJS. Indigenous Philosophies and Critical Education: A Reader. Peter Lang, 2011.
15. Krupat A. Ethnocriticism: Ethnography, History, Literature. University of California Press, 1992.
16. Thumboo E. Literature and Liberation: Five Essays from Southeast Asia. National University of Singapore Press. Smith, 1999, 2003.
17. Fanon F. The Wretched of the Earth. Grove Press, 1961.
18. Said E. Orientalism. Pantheon Books, 1978.
19. Finnegan R. Oral Traditions and the Verbal Arts: A Guide to Research Practices. Routledge, 1992.
20. Ong WJ. Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word. Methuen, 1982.
21. Kuokkanen R. Reshaping the University: Responsibility, Indigenous Epistemes, and the Logic of the Gift. UBC Press, 2007.
22. Anzaldúa G. Borderlands/La Frontera: The New Mestiza. Aunt Lute Books, 1987.
23. Grande S. Red Pedagogy: Native American Social and Political Thought. Rowman & Littlefield, 2015.
24. Ong WJ. Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word. Methuen, 1982.
25. Finnegan R. Oral Traditions and the Verbal Arts: A Guide to Research Practices. Routledge, 1992.
26. Johnson JW. The Epic of Son-Jara: A West African Tradition. Indiana University Press, 1986.
27. Broome R. Aboriginal Australians: A History Since 1788. Allen & Unwin, 2001.
28. Silko LM. Ceremony. Penguin, 1977.
29. Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o. Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature. Heinemann, 1986.
30. Cajete G. Look to the Mountain: An Ecology of Indigenous Education. Kivaki Press, 1994.
31. Battiste M. Indigenous Knowledge and Pedagogy in First Nations Education: A Literature Review with Recommendations. Ottawa: National Working Group on Education, 2002.
32. Archibald JA. Indigenous Storywork: Educating the Heart, Mind, Body, and Spirit. UBC Press, 2008.
33. Smith LT. Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples. Zed Books, 1999.
34. Iseke J. Indigenous Digital Storytelling in Education: A Decolonizing, Participatory, and Narrative Process. *AlterNative: An International Journal of Indigenous Peoples*. 2013; 9(1):25-40.
35. Johnson JW. The Epic of Son-Jara: A West African Tradition. Indiana University Press, 1986.
36. Berkes F. Sacred Ecology: Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Resource Management. Taylor & Francis, 1999.
37. Whyte KP. Indigenous science (fiction) for the Anthropocene: Ancestral dystopias and fantasies of climate change crises. *Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space*. 2018; 1(1-2):224-242.
38. Ghosh A. The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable. University of Chicago Press, 2016.
39. Noonuccal O. My People: A Kath Walker Collection. Jacaranda Press, 1970.
40. Osundare N. The Eye of the Earth. Heinemann, 1986.
41. Hogan L. Dwellings: A Spiritual History of the Living World. W.W. Norton & Company, 1995.
42. Harjo J. An American Sunrise: Poems. W.W. Norton & Company, 2019.
43. Hinton L, Huss L, Roche G. (Eds.). The Routledge Handbook of Language Revitalization. Routledge, 2018.
44. Skutnabb-Kangas T. Linguistic Genocide in Education-Or Worldwide Diversity and Human Rights? Routledge, 2000.
45. Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o. Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature. Heinemann, 1986.
46. Silko LM. Ceremony. Penguin, 1977.
47. Anzaldúa G. Borderlands/La Frontera: The New Mestiza. Aunt Lute Books, 1987.
48. May S. Language and Minority Rights: Ethnicity, Nationalism and the Politics of Language. Routledge, 2012.
49. McCarty TL. Indigenous Language Revitalization in the Americas. Routledge, 2018.
50. Poon A. Teaching across Cultures: Toward a Multicultural Pedagogy in Literary Studies. Routledge, 2016.
51. Christie M. Digital tools and the future of indigenous knowledge. *Learning Communities: International Journal of Learning in Social Contexts*. 2008; 1(1):16-30.
52. McKinley B. Indigenous Education Policy, Practice, and Perspectives: A Global Perspective. Palgrave Macmillan, 2015.
53. UNESCO. Open Educational Resources and Indigenous Knowledge Systems. UNESCO Publishing, 2019.
54. Kovach M. Indigenous Methodologies: Characteristics, Conversations, and Contexts. University of Toronto Press, 2009.
55. Simpson LB. Dancing on Our Turtle's Back: Stories of Nishnaabeg Re-Creation, Resurgence, and a New Emergence. ARP Books, 2014.

## References

1. Achebe C. Things Fall Apart. Heinemann, 1958.
2. Anzaldúa G. Borderlands/La Frontera: The New Mestiza. Aunt Lute Books, 1987.
2. Ashcroft B, Griffiths G, Tiffin H. The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-Colonial Literatures. Routledge, 2002.

3. Battiste M. Indigenous Knowledge and Pedagogy in First Nations Education: A Literature Review with Recommendations. Ottawa: National Working Group on Education, 2002.
4. Berkes F. Sacred Ecology: Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Resource Management. Taylor & Francis, 1999.
5. Broome R. Aboriginal Australians: A History since 1788. Allen & Unwin, 2001.
6. Cajete G. Look to the Mountain: An Ecology of Indigenous Education. Kivaki Press, 1994.
7. Christie M. Digital tools and the future of indigenous knowledge. Learning Communities: *International Journal of Learning in Social Contexts*. 2008; 1(1):16-30.
8. Dei GJS. Indigenous Philosophies and Critical Education: A Reader. Peter Lang, 2011.
9. Finnegan R. Oral Traditions and the Verbal Arts: A Guide to Research Practices. Routledge, 1992.
10. Fanon F. The Wretched of the Earth. Grove Press, 1961.
11. Ghosh A. The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable. University of Chicago Press, 2016.
12. Glotfelty C, Fromm H. The Ecocriticism Reader: Landmarks in Literary Ecology. University of Georgia Press, 1996.
13. Grande S. Red Pedagogy: Native American Social and Political Thought. Rowman & Littlefield, 2015.
14. Harjo J. An American Sunrise: Poems. W.W. Norton & Company, 2019.
15. Hinton L, Huss L, Roche G. (Eds.). The Routledge Handbook of Language Revitalization. Routledge, 2018.
16. Hogan L. Dwellings: A Spiritual History of the Living World. W.W. Norton & Company, 1995.
17. Iseke J. Indigenous Digital Storytelling in Education: A Decolonizing, Participatory, and Narrative Process. *AlterNative: An International Journal of Indigenous Peoples*. 2013; 9(1):25-40.
18. Johnson JW. The Epic of Son-Jara: A West African Tradition. Indiana University Press, 1986.
19. Kovach M. Indigenous Methodologies: Characteristics, Conversations, and Contexts. University of Toronto Press, 2009.
20. Krupat A. Ethnocriticism: Ethnography, History, Literature. University of California Press, 1992.
21. Kuokkanen R. Reshaping the University: Responsibility, Indigenous Epistemes, and the Logic of the Gift. UBC Press, 2007.
22. May S. Language and Minority Rights: Ethnicity, Nationalism and the Politics of Language. Routledge, 2012.
23. McCarty TL. Indigenous Language Revitalization in the Americas. Routledge, 2018.
24. McKinley B. Indigenous Education Policy, Practice, and Perspectives: A Global Perspective. Palgrave Macmillan, 2015.
25. Momaday NS. House Made of Dawn. Harper & Row, 1968.
26. Ngugi wa Thiong'o. Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature. Heinemann, 1986.
27. Noonuccal O. My People: A Kath Walker Collection. Jacaranda Press, 1970.
28. Ong WJ. Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word. Methuen, 1982.
29. Osundare N. The Eye of the Earth. Heinemann, 1986.
30. Poon A. Teaching across Cultures: Toward a Multicultural Pedagogy in Literary Studies. Routledge, 2016.
31. Said E. Orientalism. Pantheon Books, 1978.
32. Silko LM. Ceremony. Penguin, 1977.
33. Simpson LB. Dancing on Our Turtle's Back: Stories of Nishnaabeg Re-Creation, Resurgence, and a New Emergence. ARP Books, 2014.
34. Skutnabb-Kangas T. Linguistic Genocide in Education—Or Worldwide Diversity and Human Rights? Routledge, 2000.
35. Smith LT. Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples. Zed Books, 1999.
36. Spivak GC. Can the Subaltern Speak? In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture. University of Illinois Press, 1988, 271-313.
37. Thumboo E. Literature and Liberation: Five Essays from Southeast Asia. National University of Singapore Press, 2003.
38. UNESCO. Open Educational Resources and Indigenous Knowledge Systems. UNESCO Publishing, 2019.
39. Whyte KP. Indigenous science (fiction) for the Anthropocene: Ancestral dystopias and fantasies of climate change crises. Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space. 2018; 1(1-2):224-242.
40. Wright A. Carpentaria. Giramondo Publishing, 2006.