International Journal of Advance Studies and Growth Evaluation

UN Security Council Reform: Possibilities and Constraints

*1 Anurag Tiwari

*1 Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Ganjdundwara (P.G.) College, Ganjdundwara, Kasganj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

*Corresponding Author
Anurag Tiwari
Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Ganjdundwara (P.G.) College, Ganjdundwara, Kasganj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Abstract
The United Nations Security Council (UNSC) remains the apex body for maintaining international peace and security. However, its structure reflects post-World War II power configurations, leading to criticisms of unrepresentativeness, legitimacy deficits, and institutional inertia. This paper critically examines the possibilities and constraints of UNSC reform through a comprehensive literature review, theoretical frameworks, and case studies of Rwanda, Iraq, and Syria. It evaluates reform proposals, structural and political obstacles, and concludes with pragmatic policy recommendations. The analysis reveals that while normative and institutional proposals are abundant, geopolitical realities severely constrain transformative reforms, necessitating incremental, strategic approaches to enhance the Council's legitimacy and effectiveness.

1. Introduction

The United Nations Security Council (UNSC) stands as the apex organ responsible for maintaining international peace and security under the UN Charter. Its composition and voting structure reflect the geopolitical realities of 1945, enshrining the privileged status of the five permanent members (P5)- China, France, Russia, United Kingdom and the United States each endowed with veto power. While this design aimed to ensure great power consensus, critics argue it has produced institutional inertia, unrepresentativeness, and legitimacy deficits in addressing contemporary security challenges. The post-Cold War era has heightened scrutiny of the Council's effectiveness and legitimacy, particularly given recurring failures in humanitarian crises such as Rwanda (1994) and Syria (2011–present), as well as questions of authority when bypassed in interventions like Iraq (2003). Calls for reform have gained traction among developing countries, regional blocs, and civil society networks demanding greater equity, accountability and responsiveness. This paper critically examines the possibilities and constraints of UNSC reform, situating the debate within International Relations theoretical perspectives and empirical case studies. It explores proposed reforms, expansion of permanent or non-permanent seats, veto restraint and procedural innovations alongside structural and political obstacles embedded in global power asymmetries. Ultimately, the paper argues that while normative and institutional reform proposals are abundant, geopolitical realities severely constrain implementation, necessitating pragmatic strategies for incremental change.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Historical Evolution of UNSC Reform Debates

Reform discussions have been integral since the UN's inception. Early debates focused on expanding membership to accommodate newly independent states post-decolonisation (Luck, 2006). The 1963 Charter amendment increased non-permanent seats from six to ten, reflecting limited adaptation to geopolitical shifts.

2.2 Contemporary Reform Proposals

Recent proposals include:

- G4 Proposal: Advocates permanent seats for Germany, Japan, India, and Brazil.
- African Group (Ezulwini Consensus): Demands at least two permanent seats with veto rights and five non-permanent seats for Africa (African Union, 2005).
- Uniting for Consensus (UfC): Proposes expansion only in non-permanent categories to avoid power concentration (Security Council Report, 2020).

2.3 Legitimacy, Representation, and Effectiveness

Hurd (2008) argues that legitimacy deficits stem from unequal representation, undermining the Council's moral authority. Weiss (2009) contends that effectiveness requires both legitimacy and great power buy-in, creating an enduring reform paradox.

2.4 Veto Power and Institutional Inertia

The veto remains the core impediment to Council responsiveness (Malone, 2007). Russia's veto on Syria-related resolutions and the US veto on resolutions concerning Israel-Palestine exemplify strategic interests overriding collective security imperatives.

3. Theoretical Framework
3.1 Realism

Realism posits that the Council reflects power distributions among states. The P5 resist reforms that would dilute their privileged status (Mearsheimer, 2001). For realists, the UNSC's purpose is stability among great powers rather than equitable governance.

3.2 Liberal Institutionalism

This perspective highlights the potential of institutional reforms to enhance cooperation and legitimacy (Keohane, 1984). It emphasises reform as necessary for addressing transnational threats effectively.

3.3 Constructivism

Constructivists argue that normative factors shape state behaviour (Wendt, 1999). The persistent discourse on equity, representation, and legitimacy keeps reform debates alive despite material power constraints.

4. Reform Possibilities
4.1 Expansion of Membership

- **Permanent seats:** Adding G4 and African states to reflect current power configurations.
- **Non-permanent seats:** Increasing from ten to fifteen to improve regional representation (Security Council Report, 2020).

4.2 Veto Restraint

Proposals include voluntary veto restraint in mass atrocity situations, as advocated by France, aligning with Responsibility to Protect (R2P) principles (Evans, 2008).

4.3 Procedural Reforms

Enhancing E10 influence through strengthened working methods, improved transparency, and regional consultations.

5. Constraints to Reform
5.1 Structural and Legal Constraints

Amending the UN Charter requires approval by two-thirds of member states and all P5, making transformative reforms legally arduous (UN Charter, Article 108).

5.2 Geopolitical Rivalries

Regional rivalries (e.g. Nigeria vs. South Africa; Brazil vs. Argentina) hinder consensus on reform models.

5.3 P5 Resistance

Permanent members fear erosion of their privileged status and potential veto dilution, leading to obstructionist strategies.

5.4 Complexity of Reform Coalitions

Disparate reform coalitions with conflicting models (G4 vs. UfC vs. African Group) dilute negotiating leverage within Intergovernmental Negotiations (IGN).

6. Case Studies
6.1 Rwanda Genocide (1994)
6.1.1 Background

The Rwandan genocide in April–July 1994 resulted in the deaths of approximately 800,000 Tutsi and moderate Hutu civilians within 100 days (Barnett, 2002). Despite clear early warning signs, the UNSC failed to authorise robust intervention to halt the killings.

6.1.2 UNSC Actions and Constraints

- **UNAMIR Limitations:** The UN Assistance Mission for Rwanda (UNAMIR) was deployed under a weak Chapter VI mandate, limiting its role to monitoring the Arusha Accords without enforcement capabilities (Barnett, 2002).
- **Withdrawal Post-Attack:** Following the killing of 10 Belgian peacekeepers, Belgium withdrew its troops, and the UNSC voted to reduce UNAMIR's strength from 2,500 to 270 troops at the height of the genocide (Power, 2002).
- **P5 Inaction:** The US, wary of another Somalia-like debacle, actively avoided the term "genocide" to circumvent legal obligations under the Genocide Convention (Power, 2002). France prioritised its Operation Turquoise, which critics argue protected genocidaires fleeing into Zaire (Melvern, 2000).

6.1.3 Implications for UNSC Reform

- **Underrepresentation of Africa:** The absence of a permanent African voice arguably contributed to the Council's deprioritisation of Rwanda.
- **Veto Inertia:** Though no veto was exercised, the lack of strategic interests among P5 created effective inaction, highlighting that veto misuse is not the only operational problem-lack of political will is equally critical.
- **Calls for Reform:** The genocide catalysed debates on Responsibility to Protect (R2P) and UNSC accountability in humanitarian crises, leading to R2P's endorsement in the 2005 World Summit Outcome Document (UN, 2005).

6.2 Iraq War (2003)
6.2.1 Background

In 2003, the US and UK led a coalition invasion of Iraq, citing the threat of weapons of mass destruction (WMDs) and alleged links to terrorism. The UNSC did not authorise the use of force due to significant opposition, particularly from France, Russia, and China (Thakur, 2006).

6.2.2 UNSC Deliberations

- **Resolution 1441:** The Council unanimously passed Resolution 1441, giving Iraq a "final opportunity" to comply with disarmament obligations. However, it did not authorise automatic use of force for non-compliance (Security Council Report, 2020).
- **P5 Divisions:** The US and UK argued that Iraq's continued non-compliance justified military intervention. France, Russia, and China disagreed, insisting that inspections be completed first (Thakur, 2006).

6.2.3 Implications for UNSC Reform
- **Erosion of Legitimacy:** The bypassing of the UNSC undermined its authority as the sole organ for authorising legitimate force, as enshrined in the Charter (Article 42).
- **Great Power Unilateralism:** Demonstrated structural constraints wherein P5 members can act outside the UN framework when their vital interests are perceived to be at stake.
- **Reform Debate Impact:** Some scholars argue the Iraq War weakened reform prospects as the US was unwilling to empower a Council it deemed an obstacle to its strategic freedom (Weiss & Young, 2005).

6.3 Syrian Civil War (2011-present)
6.3.1 Background
Since 2011, Syria has been engulfed in a civil war resulting in over 500,000 deaths and millions of displaced persons (UNHCR, 2022). Despite widespread condemnation of chemical weapon use and indiscriminate attacks on civilians, the UNSC has been largely paralysed.

6.3.2 UNSC Deliberations and Veto Use
- **Russian and Chinese Vetoes:** Russia, often joined by China, has vetoed over 16 draft resolutions addressing humanitarian access, ceasefires, and sanctions against the Assad regime (Security Council Report, 2020). Russia frames its vetoes as defending Syrian sovereignty against Western-backed regime change.
- **Limited Humanitarian Resolutions:** The Council has passed resolutions on humanitarian aid corridors (e.g. Resolution 2139) but even these have faced intense negotiation and eventual reduction of aid routes due to Russian veto threats (UN OCHA, 2021).

6.3.3 Implications for UNSC Reform
- **Veto Misuse:** Syria exemplifies the challenge of veto use protecting client regimes, undermining UNSC credibility in protecting civilians under R2P (Hurd, 2008).
- **Normative vs. Structural Constraints:** While the normative consensus favours civilian protection, structural power politics render Council action ineffective.
- **Calls for Veto Restraint:** France has championed a proposal for P5 voluntary veto restraint in mass atrocity situations, supported by over 100 UN member states, but rejected by Russia and the US (Global Centre for R2P, 2015).

6.4 Case Study Synthesis
The three cases collectively illustrate:
- **Structural Entrenchment:** P5 interests define outcomes, whether by inaction (Rwanda), unilateral bypass (Iraq), or active veto (Syria).
- **Regional Representation Deficit:** Particularly in Rwanda, African underrepresentation correlated with deprioritisation of urgent security threats.
- **Normative Pressure for Reform:** Each crisis strengthened moral and legal arguments for reform-from R2P (post-Rwanda) to veto restraint (post-Syria) and expansion debates (post-Iraq).

7. Discussion
The findings from the literature review, theoretical framing and case studies reveal complex dynamics shaping UNSC reform debates:

7.1 Persistent Structural Constraints
Realist and institutionalist perspectives converge in recognising that structural constraints embedded in the Charter and great power interests make transformative reform unlikely. As demonstrated in Syria, Russia uses its veto to protect a key ally, while the US has historically shielded Israel in numerous resolutions (Neack, 2014). The legal requirement for P5 consent to amend the Charter (Article 108) further entrenches the status quo.

7.2 Legitimacy Deficits and Reform Imperatives
From a liberal institutionalist and constructivist standpoint, UNSC legitimacy crises-evident in Rwanda's inaction, Iraq's bypass, and Syria's paralysis-create moral and political imperatives for reform to enhance Council credibility. Proposals such as expanding permanent membership to include India, Brazil, Germany, and Japan (G4) or African states reflect demands for representative legitimacy (Luck, 2006). Additionally, proposals for veto restraint in mass atrocity situations (e.g. the French initiative) align with R2P principles, though their voluntary nature limits enforceability.

7.3 Regional Politics and Reform Coalitions
The African Union's Ezulwini Consensus calls for two permanent and five non-permanent African seats to correct historical injustices (African Union, 2005). However, internal regional rivalries (e.g. Nigeria vs. South Africa for an African permanent seat) and lack of consensus among G4 states' regions constrain collective reform advocacy (Luck, 2006).

8. Policy Recommendations
Based on the theoretical insights, empirical analysis, and persistent challenges highlighted in this paper, the following policy recommendations are proposed to advance pragmatic and normative goals of UNSC reform:

8.1 Veto Restraint in Atrocity Crimes
This recommendation calls for the Permanent Five (P5) members to voluntarily restrain their use of veto power in situations involving genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing, and crimes against humanity. For instance, France has proposed that P5 members agree not to use their veto to block Council action aimed at preventing or halting mass atrocities. The repeated use of the veto has paralysed the Council in humanitarian crises (e.g. Syria), undermining its legitimacy and credibility in protecting vulnerable populations. It bypasses the need for formal Charter amendments (which are politically near-impossible) by adopting a voluntary, political commitment among P5 states, thus circumventing legal rigidity.

8.2 Strengthen E10 Influence
This recommendation proposes to enhance the role of elected non-permanent members (E10) in UNSC deliberations and decision-making. Currently, P5 dominance sidelines E10 input despite their numerical majority.

Greater E10 influence improves representativeness, legitimacy, and inclusivity of Council decisions, making them more reflective of wider UN membership concerns. It improves procedural equity without altering structural power arrangements, thus facing minimal P5 resistance.

8.3 Expand Permanent and Non-Permanent Membership

This involves increasing the number of both permanent and non-permanent seats on the Council to reflect current global power distributions. The G4 countries (Germany, Japan, India, Brazil) and African states are leading candidates for new permanent seats. The Council's legitimacy suffers due to outdated representation structures rooted in 1945. Including major emerging powers and African representation enhances fairness, legitimacy, and global acceptance of UNSC decisions. While Charter amendments are required, incremental expansion with negotiated conditions (e.g. limited or deferred veto rights for new permanent members) may ease P5 fears of diluted power.

8.4 Formalise Regional Consultations

This recommendation aims to institutionalise regular consultative mechanisms between the UNSC and regional organisations (e.g. African Union, ASEAN, European Union), as envisaged under Chapter VIII of the UN Charter. Regional organisations possess contextual expertise and political legitimacy in their respective regions, enabling more effective conflict resolution and peacekeeping operations. It operationalises existing Charter provisions without structural changes, thereby facing low legal or political barriers.

8.5 Codify R2P Operational Guidelines

This recommendation proposes developing clear UNSC guidelines on when and how to operationalise the Responsibility to Protect (R2P), specifying thresholds for action to prevent mass atrocity crimes. Despite R2P's normative strength since its endorsement in 2005, lack of operational clarity has allowed politicisation and selective application, weakening global human protection norms. It avoids politically contentious Charter amendments by adopting Council guidelines, enhancing predictability and legitimacy of decisions on humanitarian interventions.

8.6 Coalition Building

This involves strategic alliance formation among reform-minded states and blocs to unify demands, harmonise proposals, and increase negotiating leverage. Past reform efforts (e.g. G4's 2005 bid) failed partly due to fragmented coalitions and competing models (e.g. G4 vs. Uniting for Consensus vs. African Group), weakening bargaining power. By presenting unified positions, reform coalitions can negotiate trade-offs with P5 more effectively and avoid internal divisions that the P5 exploit.

These recommendations collectively address the structural, procedural, and normative constraints of UNSC reform. Recognising geopolitical realities and the difficulty of formal Charter amendments, they prioritise pragmatic incremental reforms alongside continued advocacy for structural changes. They combine realist acknowledgement of power politics with liberal and constructivist emphasis on legitimacy, cooperation, and norm-building, offering a balanced pathway towards a more representative, effective, and legitimate Security Council in the 21st century.

Conclusion

This paper has examined UNSC reform possibilities and constraints through theoretical, empirical, and policy lenses. The analysis demonstrates that:

Reform Possibilities Exist: Normative, institutional, and procedural proposals are well-developed, reflecting widespread recognition of the Council's unrepresentativeness and legitimacy crises.

Severe Constraints Remain: Structural entrenchment of P5 privileges, geopolitical rivalries and legal amendment hurdles impede transformative reforms, rendering radical proposals politically unattainable in the near term.

Case Studies Illuminate Failures: Rwanda, Iraq and Syria highlight different dimensions of Council inadequacy-inaction, bypass, and paralysis-each reinforcing calls for reform yet simultaneously demonstrating why such reform remains blocked.

Theoretical Insights Matter: Realism underscores the dominance of power politics; liberal institutionalism reveals institutional design flaws; constructivism highlights the enduring influence of legitimacy norms.

In conclusion, UNSC reform is a complex interplay of power, law, and normative legitimacy. While comprehensive structural reform remains elusive, pragmatic incremental changes in Council working methods, regional consultations, and voluntary veto restraint could modestly enhance Council legitimacy and effectiveness. For long-term transformation, reform advocates must navigate geopolitical realities while sustaining normative pressure for an equitable and effective global security governance system.

References

1. African Union. The Ezulwini Consensus, 2005. Retrieved from https://au.int
2. Barnett M. Eyewitness to a genocide: The United Nations and Rwanda. Cornell University Press, 2002.
3. Bellamy AJ. The responsibility to protect: A defence. Oxford University Press, 2015.
4. Evans G. The responsibility to protect: Ending mass atrocity crimes once and for all. Brookings Institution Press, 2008.
5. Global Centre for the Responsibility to Protect. R2P and veto restraint, 2015.
   Retrieved from https://www.globalr2p.org/resources/r2p-and-veto-restraint
6. Hurd I. After anarchy: Legitimacy and power in the United Nations Security Council. Princeton University Press, 2008.
7. Keohane RO. After hegemony: Cooperation and discord in the world political economy. Princeton University Press, 1984.
8. Luck EC. UN Security Council: Practice and promise. Routledge, 2006.
9. Malone DM. The UN Security Council: From the Cold War to the 21st century. Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2007.
10. Mearsheimer JJ. The tragedy of great power politics. W. W. Norton & Company, 2001.
11. Melvern LR. A people betrayed: The role of the West in Rwanda's genocide. Zed Books, 2000.
12. Neack L. The new foreign policy: Complex interdependence and globalisation. Rowman & Littlefield, 2014.

13. Power S. A problem from hell: America and the age of genocide. Harper Perennial, 2002.

14. Security Council Report. In hindsight: Security Council reform-a never-ending process, 2020. Retrieved from https://www.securitycouncilreport.org

15. Thakur R. The United Nations, peace and security: From collective security to the responsibility to protect. Cambridge University Press, 2006.

16. UN OCHA. Syrian Arab Republic: Cross-border humanitarian response fact sheet, 2021. Retrieved from https://www.unocha.org/syria

17. UNHCR. Syria emergency, 2022. Retrieved from https://www.unhcr.org/syria-emergency.html

18. Wendt A. Social theory of international politics. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

19. Weiss TG. What's wrong with the United Nations and how to fix it. Polity Press, 2009.